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Dissociative photoionization of methyl bromide (CH3Br) in an excitation energy range of 10.45–16.90 eV has been investigated by using threshold photoelectron-photoion coincidence (TPEPICO) velocity imaging. The coincident time-of-flight mass spectra indicate that the ground state X2E of CH3Br+ is stable, and both A2A1 and B2E ionic excited states are fully dissociative to produce the unique fragment ion of CH3+. From TPEPICO 3D time-sliced velocity images of CH3+ dissociated from specific state-selected CH3Br+ ion, kinetic energy release distribution (KERD) and angular distribution of CH3+ fragment ion are directly obtained. Both spin-orbit states of Br(2P) atom can be clearly observed in fast dissociation of CH3Br+(A2A1) ion along C–Br rupture, while a KERD of Maxwell-Boltzmann profile is obtained in dissociation of CH3Br+(B2E) ion. With the aid of the re-calculated potential energy curves of CH3Br+ including spin-orbit coupling, dissociation mechanisms of CH3Br+ ion in A2A1 and B2E states along C–Br rupture are revealed. For CH3Br+(A2A1) ion, the CH3+ + Br(2P1/2) channel is occurred via an adiabatic dissociation by vibration, while the Br(2P3/2) formation is through vibronic coupling to the high vibrational level of X2E state followed by rapid dissociation. C–Br bond breaking of CH3Br+(B2E) ion can occur via slow internal conversion to the excited vibrational level of the lower electronic states and then dissociation. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC.

I. INTRODUCTION

Methyl bromide (CH3Br) is a trace gas with the largest abundance of bromine in the lower Earth’s atmosphere and takes a considerable importance in the atmospheric and environmental sciences.1 It is believed that CH3Br plays a major role in the depletion of ozone in the stratosphere.2,3 In addition, CH3Br has attracted extensive attention in the past decades as a typical polyatomic molecule with C3V symmetry to investigate unimolecular dissociation dynamics.4

The valence-shell electron configuration of neutral CH3Br molecule with C3V symmetry is (1a1)2(2a1)2(1e)2(3a1)2(2e)4,5,6 where the nonbonding (2e) electron is of the halogen lone pairs, the (3a1) electron is associated with the C–Br bond and the (1e) electron belongs to the C–H bond. Stripping an electron from the outer orbitals, e.g., 2e, 3a1, and 1e, CH3Br+ ions in the X2E, A2A1, and B2E ionic states can be produced, respectively. The molecular structure of CH3Br+ was extensively studied by using vacuum UV absorption spectroscopy,7 dipole (e,e) electron spectroscopy,7 photoelectron spectroscopy (PES),3–5 threshold photoelectron spectroscopy (TPES)6,12 and pulsed field ionization photoelectron spectroscopy (PFI-PES).13 The adiabatic and vertical ionization energies, and vibrational frequencies of the X2E states were precisely determined. Moreover, a series of vibronic bands were observed, respectively, for both 2E3/2 and 2E1/2 spin-orbit states of X2E,13 while both A2A1 and B2E excited electronic states did not exhibit any vibrational structure.

Using electron impact ionization14-16 or photoionization,6,17-19 many fragment ions, e.g., CH3Br+, CH2+, CH2+, Br+, H+, and HBr+ were observed below 75 eV. Both CH3+ and CH2Br+ fragmentation channels were also observed in Penning ionization20 and charge-exchange reactions experiments.21 The accurate fragment appearance energies (AE) and the 0 K bond dissociation energies (BDE) for CH3+–Br rupture were measured by using threshold photoelectron-photoion coincidence (TEPICO)22 and high energy-resolution pulsed field ionization (PFI) photoelectron-photoion coincidence (PEPICO)23 techniques. The values of AE(CH3+) and BDE(CH3+–Br) were determined as 12.834 ± 0.002 eV and 2.291 ± 0.002 eV, respectively.23

---
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For dissociation of CH$_3$Br$^+$ ion in the specific low-lying electronic excited states, CH$_3^+$ was the unique fragment ion of A$^2A_1$ and B$^2E$ states in PEPICO measurement of Eland et al.$^9$ A small released kinetic energy was observed for the fragmentation process and rose slowly with available energy, which did not match the statistical distribution. However, CH$_3$Br$^+$ was also observed besides CH$_3^+$ fragment ion in the later PEPICO measurements of Lane and Powis.$^{24}$ Moreover, through fitting time-of-flight (TOF) profiles of CH$_3^+$ fragment ions, the released kinetic energies were roughly estimated as an approximate statistical distribution for C–Br rupture from both A$^2A_1$ and B$^2E$ states. Apparently, the above two studies arrived at opposite conclusions. Recently, Wang et al.$^{25}$ generated CH$_3$Br$^+$ ion by (2 + 1) resonant enhanced multiphoton ionization (REMPI) and then dissociated it with an additional same photon of 3.60–3.72 eV (the energy is close to B$^2E$ state). In the velocity image of CH$_3^+$ fragment, two dissociation channels to produce Br($^2P_{3/2}$) and Br($^2P_{1/2}$) were identified and an excitation of the umbrella vibration ($v_2^+$) of CH$_3$+ was confirmed. Similarly, UV dissociation dynamics of CH$_3$Br$^+$ in 323–334 nm range (3.71–3.85 eV) were studied by Blanchet et al.$^{26}$ In the energy range of B$^2E$ state, the $v_4$ degenerate bend of CH$_3$+ was mostly populated within CH$_3$+–Br rupture. Recently, Xi and Huang calculated the Br-loss and H-loss potential energy curves of CH$_3$Br$^+$ in the low-lying electronic states.$^{27}$ Potential wells along C–Br bond coordinate were found for X$^2E$, A$^2A_1$, and B$^2E$ states, respectively. The dissociation of CH$_3$Br$^+$ (B$^2E$) ion was suggested to occur via internal conversion to X$^2E$ ground state followed the statistically dissociation, while a directly dissociation by vibration was proposed for CH$_3$Br$^+$ (A$^2A_1$) ion in Franck-Condon region.

Although high energy-resolution velocity images of CH$_3^+$ were detected by Wang et al. and Blanchet et al.,$^{25,26}$ the excitation energies were located in the Franck-Condon gap of A$^2A_1$ and B$^2E$ ionic states. Due to significant overlap between A$^2A_1$ and B$^2E$ states, it is difficult to identify that the detected CH$_3^+$ fragments in these two experiments were produced in dissociation of A$^2A_1$ or B$^2E$ states. More importantly, both previous coincidence experiments drew the opposite conclusions on statistical or direct dissociation of CH$_3$Br$^+$ (A$^2A_1$) ion,$^{4,24}$ as mentioned above. Therefore, the detailed dissociation mechanisms of CH$_3$Br$^+$ ion in specific A$^2A_1$ and B$^2E$ states are still unclear. Moreover, the C–H rupture of CH$_3$Br$^+$ ion in A$^2A_1$ and B$^2E$ states also needs to be re-checked, since Lane and Powis$^{24}$ detected CH$_3$Br$^+$ fragment but it was missing in another PEPICO$^4$ and ion-dissociation measurements.$^{25,26}$

A novel TPEPICO velocity imaging setup$^{28}$ has been shown to be very powerful for investigating dissociative photoionization(DPI) of molecules.$^{29–33}$ Significantly different from the previous multiphoton ionization-dissociation experiments,$^{25,26}$ the CH$_3$Br$^+$ ion can be directly produced in specific electronic states via one-photon ionization within an excitation energy range of 10.45–16.90 eV. Consequently, the fragment ions dissociated from internal energy-selected CH$_3$Br$^+$ (A$^2A_1$ or B$^2E$) ion can be measured. Their kinetic energy release distribution (KERD) and angular distributions are acquired from the corresponding TPEPICO velocity image, and thus dissociation mechanism is proposed with the aid of theoretical calculation.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

All the experiments were carried out at the U14-A undulator beamline of National Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory in Hefei, China. The details of the beamline and TPEPICO velocity imaging spectrometer have been introduced previously,$^{28}$ and thus only a brief description is presented here.

A 6-m-long monochromator was used to disperse synchrotron radiation from 800 MeV electron storage ring, where a spherical grating with 370 grooves mm$^{-1}$ was used to cover a photon energy range of 7.5–22.5 eV. In present experiments, both entrance and exit slits of the monochromator were kept at 80 μm, and hence the resolved power (E/ΔE) of photon energy was about 2000. A gas filter with noble gas was used to reduce higher-order harmonic radiation of the beamline.

Once photoionization, electron, and ion were pushed apart by a direct current extraction field of 14 V cm$^{-1}$, and both of their velocity images were obtained in the opposite directions. With a repelling electric field of focusing lens, electron image was dramatically magnified, e.g., electrons with 50 meV kinetic energy would produce a ∼25-mm-diameter image. A mask with a 1-mm-diameter central hole located at the front of electron detector was used to sift zero-kinetic-energy electrons (so-called as threshold photoelectrons), and thus the contamination of energetic electrons can be efficiently suppressed. The detected threshold photoelectrons were amplified by a preamplifier (ORTEC, VT120C) and recorded by a multiscaler (FAST Comtec GmbH, P7888). The synchrotron photon flux was measured with a silicon photodiode in order to normalize threshold photoelectron spectroscopy. On the other direction, ions were projected onto the surface of MCPs (Burle, 40 mm diameter) backed by a phosphor screen. A thermo-electrically cooled charge-coupled-device camera (Andor, DU934N-BV) was used to record ion image on the screen. In a coincidence measurement, the detected threshold photoelectron provided a starting time for ion flight time, and a pulsed high voltage (DEI, PVX-4140) was applied at MCPs of ion detector as “mass gate” to select the target ion. The effective duration time of “mass gate” was kept as 60 ns when recording the time-sliced image.

Commercial CH$_3$Br/Ar (1:9) mixture gas with a stagnation pressure of 1.2 atm was introduced into the spectrometer through a home-made 30 μm diameter aperture. The continuous supersonic molecular beam (MB) was collimated by a 0.5-mm diameter skimmer and orthogonally intersected by synchrotron radiation at 10 cm downstream from the nozzle. The typical background pressure in the photoionization chamber was increased from 5 × 10$^{-6}$ Pa to 1 × 10$^{-4}$ Pa with the MB on.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Threshold photoelectron spectrum of CH$_3$Br

Threshold photoelectron spectrum of CH$_3$Br in the excitation energy range of 10.45–16.90 eV has been measured
with a step size of 5 meV and presented in Figure 1. Three low-lying ionic states, X2E, A2A1, and B2E, are observed. For the ground electronic state of X2E, a series of vibrational bands can be discerned. As shown in Figure 1, two strong peaks of X2E located at 10.545 eV and 10.860 eV are assigned to the original bands of 2E3/2 and 2E1/2 spin-orbit states of X2E, which is consistent with the previous assignments. Taking into account the spin-orbit splitting of Br(2P), the dissociation limit is located at 12.77 eV while the dissociation limit of C–H ruptures is located at 12.834 eV.23 The other peaks between 10.5 eV and 11.3 eV can be attributed to the vibrational bands of the 2E3/2 and 2E1/2 states. No vibrational structures are observed in Figure 1 for the A2A1 state, although their potential contributions probably make the tail of B2E band towards the higher energy in Figure 1. The relative intensities of A2A1 and B2E excited electronic states. Moreover, no obvious spin-orbit and Jahn-Teller splitting can be observed for B2E state, although their potential contributions probably make the tail of B2E band towards the higher energy in Figure 1. The relative intensities of A2A1 and B2E excited electronic states in Figure 1 are slightly different to those in TPES observed by Eland et al.7 However, H loss fragmentation was also observed in Lane and Powis’s experiment28 with He I photoionization. This inconsistency is probably caused by the different ionization processes between the present and previous experiments. As indicated in recent dissociation of ethanol ion34 and formic acid ion,35 the H-loss pathway usually has much slower rate than the channels of losing heavy fragments due to an activation barrier involved. Therefore, the

### TABLE I. Adiabatic correlation dissociation limits of CH3Br+ ion in the low-lying ionic states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy (eV)</th>
<th>Fragments</th>
<th>Adiabatic correlation state of CH3Br+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12.775</td>
<td>CH2Br+(X1A1)+ H(3S)</td>
<td>X2E (2A′)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.834</td>
<td>CH1+(X1A1)+ Br(3P3/2)</td>
<td>X2E (2A′ and 2A″)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.291</td>
<td>CH1+(X1A1)+ Br(3P1/2)</td>
<td>A2A1 (A2A′)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.42</td>
<td>CH2+(X2Π)+ HBr(X3Σ+)</td>
<td>A2E (2A′) or 2A″</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.78</td>
<td>CH1(X2A1)+ Br(3P2)</td>
<td>2E3/2, 2E1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>CH1(X2A1)+ Br(3P1)</td>
<td>2E3/2, 2E1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.26</td>
<td>CH2(X2A1)+ Br(3P2)</td>
<td>2E3/2, 2E1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.67</td>
<td>HBr+(X2Π)+ CH2(X3Π)</td>
<td>A2E (2A′)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Spectral terms in parentheses are of C, symmetry.
*From Ref. 4.
*From Ref. 23.
*Taking into account the spin-orbit splitting of Br(3P).

Figure 2(b) and 2(c) show TPEPICO TOF mass spectra at the excitation energies of 13.440 eV and 14.940 eV, which locate in the A2A1 and B2E ionic states, respectively. Although the excitation energy is higher than dissociation limits of C–Br and C–H ruptures, a unique mass peak of CH3+ (m/z = 15) fragment ions is observed at TOF center of 7.92 μs, while no CH2Br+ fragment and CH3Br+ parent ions can be identified. The present conclusions are consistent with those observed by Eland et al.4 and Olney et al.7 However, H loss fragmentation was also observed in Lane and Powis’s experiment28 with He I photoionization. This inconsistency is probably caused by the different ionization processes between the present and previous experiments. As indicated in recent dissociation of ethanol ion34 and formic acid ion,35 the H-loss pathway usually has much slower rate than the channels of losing heavy fragments due to an activation barrier involved. Therefore, the
Br-loss path of CH$_3$Br$^+$ would be more favorable, since a small barrier was confirmed indeed along the calculated H-loss potential energy curves by Xi and Huang. However, the C–H rupture from CH$_3$Br$^+$ ion was suggested to proceed via an unknown dissociative state in Lane and Powis’s experiment, which led to the visible CH$_2$Br$^+$ fragmentation. Thus it is well possible that the He I photoionization favors the production of the dissociative state. Moreover, this difference caused by the different experimental methods was also observed between photoionization and electron impact of CH$_3$Cl.

In addition, full widths of CH$_3^+$ peaks in Figures 2(b) and 2(c) are, respectively, expanded to be 370 and 640 ns, due to the released kinetic energy in dissociation. Therefore, the CH$_3$Br$^+$ ions in both A$^2$A$_1$ and B$^2$E states are fully dissociative to produce CH$_3^+$ and Br fragments within the present excitation energy range.

C. TPEPICO 3D time-sliced image of CH$_3^+$ dissociated from CH$_3$Br$^+$(A$^2$A$_1$)

Although KERD and mean kinetic energy of fragments can be roughly estimated from fitting the TOF profile of CH$_3^+$ fragment ions, the detailed dissociation information may be buried. The kinetic energy distribution of fragment ions is expected to be accurately obtained from their velocity images of high energy resolution. However, according to a perpendicular configuration between the MB and ion flight directions in the spectrometer, the velocity spread of MB will inevitably reduce the energy-resolution of images along the MB direction. Therefore, the recorded images should be handled prior to extracting the speed and angular distribution through a multistep data treatment scheme including quadrant symmetrization and deconvolution as described in Ref. 30.

As shown in the image of CH$_3$Br$^+$ parent ions at 10.545 eV of Figure 3, the raw image directly recorded in experiment is off-center indeed and broadened along the direction of MB. Using the intensity distribution of CH$_3$Br$^+$ ion image and the distance from centers of image and CCD, the parallel translational temperature of MB is calculated to be about 16 K, which will be used in the deconvolution of data.

TPEPICO three-dimensional time-sliced image of CH$_3^+$ fragment ions dissociated from CH$_3$Br$^+$(A$^2$A$_1$) ions at 13.440 eV was recorded and presented in Figure 4(a). Two homocentric components are observed in the image, and both of them are slightly anisotropic and parallel to the electric vector $\epsilon$ of photon (as the vertical direction in Figure 4(a)). The speed distribution of CH$_3^+$ can be obtained based on its radial
distribution. Subsequently taking into account conservation of linear momentum in center-of-mass frame, total KERD in dissociation of CH$_3$Br$^+(A^2A_1)$ ions at 13.440 eV is obtained and presented in Figure 4(b). Corresponding to the two parts of image, the total KERD can also be divided into two components, the low ($\sim$0.1 eV) and high ($\sim$0.25 eV) energy distributions. For a specific total released kinetic energy, the speed of CH$_3^+$ dissociated from CH$_3$Br$^+$ is only 0.2% higher than that from CH$_3$Br$^{+81}$Br$^+$. Thus we do not discriminate contributions from dissociation of CH$_3$Br$^+$ and CH$_3$Br$^{+81}$Br$^+$ in Figure 4(b), since the energy resolution $\Delta E/E$ of the image is only about 3%.28

Although no vibrational structure can be discerned in the present total KERD, it is definitely different from the conclusion of statistical dissociation suggested by Lane and Powis.24 Two possible candidates of dissociation mechanisms can be suggested for fitting the total KERD curve. One is done by using two Gaussian profiles as shown in Figure 4(b), while the other in Figure 4(c) is fitted with a Gaussian profile and a Boltzmann distribution. In principle the former indicates two fast dissociation mechanisms and the later implies a competition between a fast dissociation and a slow statistical fragmentation. Actually, the Boltzmann-like distribution in high kinetic energy region of the total KERD profile in Figure 4(c) may be the straightforward reason for Lane and Powis24 to suggest the statistical dissociation mechanism of CH$_3$Br$^+(A^2A_1)$.

To affirm the true dissociation mechanism of CH$_3$Br$^+(A^2A_1)$ at 13.440 eV, a reasonable way is to compare angular distribution of fragments along two pathways. Anisotropic parameters $\beta$ of statistical fragmentation are expected close to zero, while direct dissociation has a large $\beta$ value (e.g., $\beta = 2$ for parallel and $\beta = -1$ for perpendicular distributions of diatomic molecule decomposition). From the image of Figure 4(a), angular distribution $I(\theta)$ of CH$_3^+$ fragment ions is obtained by integrating the intensity of image over a proper range of speed at each angle. Consequently, $\beta$ value for a special dissociation process can be obtained by fitting the angular distribution $I(\theta)$ with the following formula:

$$I(\theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} [1 + \beta \cdot P_2(\cos \theta)]. \quad (1)$$

where $\theta$ is the angle between the recoil velocity of fragment and the electric vector of photon, and $P_2(\cos \theta)$ is the second-order Legendre polynomial. Thus angular distributions of CH$_3^+$ fragment ions of the low and high kinetic energy are shown in Figure 5. The fitting anisotropic parameters $\beta$ are far from zero as 0.73 for the high kinetic energy component and 0.31 for the low part, respectively. Since both $\beta$ values are far from zero, two rapid dissociation mechanisms for DPI process at 13.440 eV is more reasonable. Therefore the total KERD curve fitting with two Gaussian profiles in Figure 4(b) seems more reliable. Moreover, both $\beta$ values are positive, indicating that dissociation of CH$_3$Br$^+(A^2A_1)$ ion has a parallel tendency along the polarization vector, which is similar to the conclusion in CH$_3$Br$^+$ decomposition in the wavelength range of 323–334 nm.26

At 13.440 eV, two dissociation channels of CH$_3^+(X^1A_1)$ + Br($^2P_{3/2}$) and CH$_3^+(X^1A_1)$ + Br($^2P_{1/2}$) are able to occur. Therefore two distributions of Gaussian profiles in the total KERD of Figure 4(b) can be straightforward attributed to the two C–Br rupture channels. The low kinetic energy distribution corresponds to the CH$_3^+(X^1A_1)$ + Br($^2P_{3/2}$) channel, while the high energy component is original from the contribution of CH$_3^+(X^1A_1)$ + Br($^2P_{1/2}$) fragmentation pathway. As shown in Figure 4(b), the Gaussian profile of the high kinetic energy exhibits the wider distribution than the low-energy one, but the corresponding branching ratio is very slightly lower as Br($^2P_{3/2}$)/[Br($^2P_{1/2}$) + Br($^2P_{3/2}$)] = 0.47. Similar to the rapid dissociation of CH$_3$Cl$^+(A^1A_1)$,32 the umbrella mode $v_2^+$ of CH$_3^+$ fragment is expected to be excited when the C–Br bond of CH$_3$Br$^+(A^2A_1)$ is broken. Taking the dissociation limit $D_0 = 12.834$ eV for the CH$_3^+(X^1A_1)$ + Br($^2P_{3/2}$) channel and $D_0 = 13.291$ eV for the CH$_3^+(X^1A_1)$ + Br($^2P_{1/2}$) channel from Table I, as well as the vibrational frequency $v_2^+$ = 1380 cm$^{-1}$, the possible vibrational state population of CH$_3^+$ fragment is assigned and shown in
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Figure 4(b) as well. Although no apparent vibrational structure is observed in the KERD curve, the vibrational population of CH$_3^+$ can be roughly assigned. The maximum vibrational quantum is located at $v_2 = 2$ for the Br($^3P_{1/2}$) channel, while no umbrella vibrational excitation is obtained for the Br($^3P_{1/2}$) channel at 13.440 eV. As shown in Figure 4(b), the higher rotational excitation of CH$_3^+$(X$^1A_1$) fragment is observed along the CH$_3^+$(X$^1A_1$) + Br($^3P_{1/2}$) channel, which is consistent with the lower $\beta$ value for the Br($^3P_{1/2}$) formation pathway.

D. TPEPICO 3D time-sliced image of CH$_3^+$ dissociated from CH$_3$Br$^+$(B$^2$E)

As indicated in Figures 1 and 2, CH$_3$Br$^+$(B$^2$E) ions are produced at 14.940 eV and subsequently dissociate. TPEPICO 3D time-sliced image of CH$_3^+$ fragment ions at 14.940 eV is shown in Figure 6(a). Subsequently, the total KERD has been obtained and exhibited in Figure 6(b). The total KERD shows considerably wide and the most dominant distribution is located at low energy. As shown in Figure 6(b), the present total KERD can be fitted very well with a Maxwell-Boltzmann profile. Therefore fragmentation of CH$_3$Br$^+$(B$^2$E) ions looks very slow via a statistical dissociation, which is in good agreement with the previous conclusions.

Figure 6(c) shows the experimental and fitted angular distribution of CH$_3^+$ fragment ions at 14.940 eV. Obviously the angular distribution is almost isotropic with a fitted $\beta$ value of $-0.04$, which is also consistent with the statistical dissociation mechanism. Therefore the CH$_3$Br$^+$(B$^2$E) ion has a longer lifetime than that in A$^2A_1$ state, although its energy is much higher.

E. Br-loss potential energy curves of CH$_3$Br$^+$ ion in low-lying electronic states

To understand the dissociation mechanisms of CH$_3$Br$^+$ ions in A$^2A_1$ and B$^2$E states, the Br-loss potential energy curves of the low-lying electronic states of CH$_3$Br$^+$ ion were re-calculated. Compared with Xi and Huang’s calculation, more doublet and quartet electronic states were involved to study the possible interactions between them and A$^2A_1$ (or B$^2$E) states, and more significantly the spin-orbit coupling was included. The electronic structure computations were performed with Molpro2010 quantum chemistry package. The geometry of CH$_3$Br$^+$ was constrained in C$_3v$, while the electronic states were calculated in Cs symmetry. Thus the degenerate E state in C$_3v$ symmetry splits into A$^+$ and A$^′$ states, respectively. The pseudo-potential ECP28MDF in combination with (6s6p1d1f)/[4s4p1d1f] Gaussian basis set was used to describe Br atom. For C and H atoms, Dunning’s aug-cc-pVTZ basis sets were used. The rigid potential energy cuts along C–Br bond distance were constructed with 38 single-point energies calculations. At each internuclear distance of C–Br bond, restricted Hartree-Fock method was used to generate starting molecular orbitals followed by state-average complete active space multiconfiguration self-consistent field (CASSCF$^{44,45}$) computations. The active space consists of six electrons in six molecular orbitals in CASSCF calculations. Second-order perturbation theory based on CASSCF wavefunctions (CASPT2$^{46}$) was used to evaluate the electron dynamical correlations. Subsequently, the spin-orbit coupling was calculated at each internuclear distance with state-interacting method. In the spin-orbital matrix calculations, the diagonal elements were replaced with CASPT2 energies.

The calculated potential energy curves of CH$_3$Br$^+$ ion are shown in Figure 7, where only doublet electronic states are shown. Due to convergence difficulty of multiconfiguration self-consistent field, geometry optimization and excitation energy calculations of B$^2$E ionic state were failed and excluded in Figure 7. Our another computation at the lower level of theory showed the similar Br-loss potential energy curve of B$^2$E to the Xi and Huang’s conclusion, which adiabatically correlated to a much higher dissociation limit of CH$_3$+$′$(3$A′$)+Br($^3P$) than the present excitation energy range. Thus only a schematic curve of B$^2$E state is plotted in Figure 7 to compare with the X$^2E$ and A$^2A_1$ states. It needs to be noted that only a little difference was observed between both A$^′$ and 2$A′$ components along C–Br bond rupture for the double $E$ states. Therefore, the Jahn-Teller effect of X$^2E$ electronic state is ignored in the following discussion of Br-loss mechanism of CH$_3$Br$^+$ ions. In addition, an energy shift exists between experimental and calculated dissociation limits,
although the calculated energy difference between the electronic states remains good for spectroscopic data. In order to match the experimental dissociation limits, we slightly normalized the calculated curves in Figure 7.

The \( \text{X}^2\text{E} \) ionic state is adiabatically correlated to the lowest dissociation channel of \( \text{CH}_3^+(\text{X}^1\text{A}_1) + \text{Br}^+(\text{P}_{3/2}) \), both for \( ^2\text{A}' \) and \( ^2\text{A}'' \) Jahn-Teller states. For the \( A^2\text{A}_1 \) state of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+ \), a potential well is found along C–Br rupture with a depth of \( \sim 0.7 \text{ eV} \), which is different from the repulsive \( A^2\text{A}_1 \) state of \( \text{CH}_3\text{F}^+ \) and \( \text{CH}_3\text{Cl}^+ \) ions.\(^{32}\) In the optimized equilibrium structure of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+(A^2\text{A}_1) \) ion, C–Br bond length is 2.25 \text{ angstrom} and thus the well deviates from the Franck-Condon region of photoionization (the optimized C–Br bond length is 1.968 \text{ angstrom} in ground state of neutral \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br} \)). As indicated in Figure 7, \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+(A^2\text{A}_1) \) ion can quickly dissociate once it is produced by vertical photoionization. The adiabatic dissociation limit of \( A^2\text{A}_1 \) state is determined as \( \text{CH}_3^+(\text{X}^1\text{A}_1) + \text{Br}^+(\text{P}_{3/2}) \). The \( \text{B}^2\text{E} \) state is bound in Franck-Condon region. Its adiabatic dissociation products are the excited \( \text{CH}_3^+(3\text{A}''') \) and \( \text{Br}^+(2\text{P}) \) atom, and the corresponding energy is much higher than the present excitation energy.

**F. Dissociation mechanisms of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+ \) ion in \( A^2\text{A}_1 \) and \( B^2\text{E} \) states**

As observed in the present experiments, C–Br rupture of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+(A^2\text{A}_1) \) ions can be occurred along two rapid dissociation processes. With the aid of potential energy curves in Figure 7, the detailed dissociation mechanism of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+ \) ion in \( A^2\text{A}_1 \) state can be derived. In the two dissociation channels, the \( \text{CH}_3^+(\text{X}^1\text{A}_1) + \text{Br}^+(\text{P}_{3/2}) \) pathway is an adiabatic one by vibration. However, due to heavy mass effect the Br atom is moving slowly away, and the internal energy of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+(A^2\text{A}_1) \) ion can be partially redistributed among all internal freedoms prior to full dissociation along the adiabatic pathway. Thus the rotation of \( \text{CH}_3^+ \) is expected to be excited, which agrees well with our measurement.

In the contrary, the \( \text{Br}^+(2\text{P}_{3/2}) \) formation is proceeded through vibronic coupling to the high vibrational level of \( \text{X}^2\text{E} \) state followed by rapid dissociation, where deformation vibration of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+ \) with E symmetry is the most favorable to the coupling from \( A^2\text{A}_1 \) to \( \text{X}^2\text{E} \) state. Moreover, the large difference between geometry of \( \text{CH}_3^+ \) fragment ion (planar) and the \( \text{CH}_3 \) moiety (tetrahedral) of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+ \) would generally favor the umbrella vibration \( \nu_3^+ \) and/or in-plane bend \( \nu_4^+ \) (170 meV)\(^{38,39}\) excitations of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+ \) in dissociation, which exactly matches the deformation vibration of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+ \) and is consistent with the present population distribution in Figure 4(b). Thus the vibronic coupling via deformation promotes the internal conversion from \( A^2\text{A}_1 \) state to \( \text{X}^2\text{E} \) state, and then \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+ \) ion at the high vibrionic level in \( \text{X}^2\text{E} \) state will adiabatically dissociate to produce \( \text{Br}^+(2\text{P}_{3/2}) \) and \( \text{CH}_3^+(\text{X}^1\text{A}_1) \) fragments.

In addition, the proportions of average kinetic energy over available energy at 13.440 eV for the two channels are much lower than the theoretical value of 0.83 calculated by using “impulsive mode”, indicating that there is a tendency indeed to distribute more available energy into \( \text{CH}_3^+ \) internal state and not into translation. Furthermore, the angular distributions for two dissociation channels are positive at 13.440 eV as mentioned above, implying that both channels of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+(A^2\text{A}_1) \) ion are parallel dissociations. Our present measurements agree with the conclusion of the UV dissociation dynamics of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+ \) in a wavelength range of 323–334 nm (3.71–3.85 eV),\(^{26}\) although the excitation energy is much lower. As shown with the \( \beta \) values, the intermediate to produce \( \text{Br}^+(2\text{P}_{3/2}) \) atom has slightly shorter dissociating time than \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+(A^2\text{A}_1) \) along the \( \text{CH}_3^+(\text{X}^1\text{A}_1) + \text{Br}^+(\text{P}_{3/2}) \) channel. But the former is an indirect process, and hence its branching ratio strongly depends on the competition between the vibronic coupling and adiabatic dissociation. The competition results in the very close branching ratios for both \( \text{Br}^+(2\text{P}_{3/2}) \) and \( \text{Br}^+(2\text{P}_{1/2}) \) channels as mentioned above.

Since \( B^2\text{E} \) state is bound, the dissociation of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+(B^2\text{E}) \) ions must occur via indirect pathways like internal conversion to the lower excited states or intersections with nearby repulsive states. However, a further computation using the atomic natural orbital (ANO) type Gaussian basis sets ANO-RCC\(^{47,48}\) suggests all the doublet or quartet states near \( B^2\text{E} \) adiabatically correlate to the \( \text{CH}_3(2\text{X}^2\text{A}_2''') + \text{Br}^+(3\text{P}) \) or the higher limits. Thus intersections between \( B^2\text{E} \) and nearby repulsive states could not cause the \( \text{CH}_3^+ \) fragmentation of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+(B^2\text{E}) \) ion at 14.940 eV. On the other hand, two candidates of the lower electronic states for internal conversion at 14.940 eV are \( A^2\text{A}_1 \) and the high vibrational level of \( \text{X}^2\text{E} \) states, respectively. Since the subsequent dissociations from both \( A^2\text{A}_1 \) and the high vibrational states of \( \text{X}^2\text{E} \) states are very fast as discussed in dissociation of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+ \) at 13.440 eV, the statistical dissociation of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br}^+(B^2\text{E}) \) ion at 14.940 eV indicates that the internal conversion is slow as the rate-determined step in dissociation. Moreover, timescale of the internal conversion is longer than the period of molecular rotation.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

Utilizing the TPEPICO velocity imaging, dissociative photoionization of \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br} \) via the low-lying ionic electronic
states, X^2E, A^2A_1, and B^2E, has been investigated. TPES in the excitation energy range of 10.45–16.90 eV was recorded, where the spin-orbit splitting of X^2E ground state as well as its vibrational structure have been clearly discerned, while no vibrational structure are observed for both the A^2A_1 and B^2E excited states. As indicated in TPEPICO TOF mass spectra at 10.545 eV, 13.440 eV, and 14.940 eV, the X^2E ground state is stable while both A^2A_1 and B^2E states are fully dissociative. CH_3^+ is the unique fragment ion detected in the present excitation energy range.

TPEPICO 3D time-sliced velocity images of CH_3^+ fragment ion dissociated from CH_3Br^+ ion in A^2A_1 and B^2E states are measured, respectively. The total KERD and angular distribution of CH_3^+ are subsequently obtained. For dissociation of CH_3Br^+(A^2A_1) ions, both the CH_3+(X^1A_1) + Br^2P_3/2 and CH_3+(X^1A_1) + Br^2P_1/2 dissociation channels are clearly discerned with the parallel angular distributions. With the aid of the re-calculated potential energy curves of CH_3Br^+ ion, the CH_3^+ formation pathway from dissociation of CH_3Br^+(A^2A_1) ion includes two parallel mechanisms: the CH_3+(X^1A_1) + Br^2P_1/2 channel is directly adiabatic dissociation along its adiabatic Br-loss path, and the other is to produce Br^2P_3/2 atom via vibronic coupling to the high vibrational level of X^2E state followed by rapid dissociation. Although the available energy is increased, the total KERD of CH_3^+ fragment dissociated from CH_3Br^+(B^2E) exhibits an approximate Maxwell-Boltzmann profile, and the angular distribution is nearly isotropic. Therefore, a statistical dissociation is occurred for CH_3Br^+ ion in B^2E state at 14.940 eV. Based on the calculated potential energy curve along C–Br rupture, an internal conversion from B^2E to the lower electronic states (A^2A_1 or X^2E) seems to be the rate-determined step in dissociation. Moreover, timescale of the internal conversion is longer than the period of molecular rotation.
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